

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

2008 FEB 12 PM 12: 08

*This space for
Clerk's Office File Stamp*

TO: ☐ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Clayes
FROM: C Puttmann, Deputy Clerk BY [Signature] RECEIVED DATE: 2/11/2008
CASE NO.: 08cv138 WQJ [PR] DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff
CASE TITLE: Schneider Rucinski Enterprises v. Touch Asia Outsourcing Solutions, Inc. Et al
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Notice of Plaintiff's Application for Writ of Possession

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>OTHER:</u> <u>need to put date of motion hearing date under Caption of the case. See Local Rules .</u>

Date forwarded: 2/11/2008

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED, and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.
- Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☒ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: WQH

Dated: 2/12/08
cc: All Parties

By: RB Figo

REJECTED

Noreen Rucinski
Dir. Strategic Business Development
Schneider Rucinski Enterprises
3344 N Mt. View Dr
San Diego CA 92116
619-282-7977

Plaintiff in Pro Per

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

"VIA FAX"

SCHNEIDER RUCINSKI ENTERPRISES,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TOUCH ASIA OUTSOURCING
SOLUTIONS, INC., dba TOUCH ASIA
CALL CENTER, INC.; RUDY NGAW;
dba/aka/ PACIFIC CALL CENTERES;
STRATASOFT INC LANE
MCCARTY individually and as an
employee and/or agent of STRATASOFT
INC.; JASON PACE individually and as an
employee and/or agent of STRATASOFT,
INC.; MIKE BRIDGES individually and as
an employee and/or agent of
STRATASOFT, INC.; MICHIEL
BRIDGES, JR., individually and as an
employee and/or agent of STRATASOFT,
INC.; INX, INC., a Texas Corporation
formerly known as I-SECTOR
CORPORATION; COLO 6 LLC, a
California Corporation, and NAVROZ
HAJI, an individual, and DOES 1 through
20, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.:

'08 CV 0138 WQH POR

**NOTICE OF AND PLAINTIFF'S
APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF
POSSESSION, MEMORANDUM OF
POINTS AND AUTHORITIES,
DECLARATION OF NOREEN RUCINSKI**

CCP § 512.010

FRCP 64

*need date +
time of motion.
consult local rules*

TO ALL INTERESTED PARTIES AND TO THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD

HEREIN:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on 3/24/08, at 11:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the
matter may be heard, in Courtroom 4 of the above captioned court, located at 940 Front